

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

6  
7  
8  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10  
11 MARGIE CHERRY and ESTORIA CHERRY,  
12 on behalf of themselves and all others similarly  
13 situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 THE CITY COLLEGE OF SAN FRANCISCO  
17 (“City College”) LAWRENCE WONG, in his  
18 official capacity as President of the Board of  
Trustees, MILTON MARKS, III, in his official  
capacity as Vice-President of the Board of  
Trustees, DR. NATALIE BERG, JOHNNIE  
CARTER, JR., DR. ANITA GRIER, JULIO J.  
RAMOS, RODEL E. RODIS, in their official  
capacities as members of the Board of  
Trustees, and DR. PHILIP R. RAY, JR., in his  
official capacity as Chancellor,

19 Defendants.

20 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
21 No. C 04-04981 WHA

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 **ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS’ REQUEST FOR  
LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE  
LIMIT AND AMENDING  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND  
HEARING DATE FOR  
CROSS-MOTIONS FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

1. Plaintiffs request leave to file an opposition brief to defendants’ motion for  
summary judgment that exceeds the 25-page limit. The proposed brief is 47 pages, nearly  
double the limit. This is beyond the pale. It is disappointing that counsel would impose this  
burden as a *fait accompli*. With great reluctance, plaintiffs’ request is **GRANTED** but at the  
expense of reducing plaintiffs’ reply brief to be filed next week.

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1           2. Because of the overlapping nature of the cross-motions for summary judgment,  
2 the Court finds that the parties have essentially already replied to each other. This order  
3 therefore imposes the following more expedited schedule for these cross-motions.

4           a. The parties' reply briefs will be due by **NOON, JANUARY 10, 2006**.  
5           b. Defendants have **TWELVE** pages for their reply brief. The brief must be  
6 double-spaced, with twelve-point font and contain no footnotes. Defendants may not submit  
7 additional declarations.  
8           c. Plaintiffs have **SIX** pages for their reply brief. The brief must be  
9 double-spaced, with twelve-point font and contain no footnotes. Plaintiffs may not submit  
10 additional declarations.  
11           d. Hearing on the cross-motions is rescheduled for **1:30 P.M., JANUARY 12,**  
12 **2006**.

13           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14  
15           Dated: January 6, 2006



16           **WILLIAM ALSUP**  
17           **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**